DIET & WEIGHT

Who Does Intermittent Fasting Actually Suit?

The evidence suggests fasting is another workable way to eat less-not a superior diet, and certainly not a good fit for everyone.

The appeal is the boundary

You are tired of counting. Calories, portions, points, carbohydrates: every meal can begin to feel like paperwork. Intermittent fasting offers a cleaner rule. Instead of tracking every bite, you limit when eating happens. For some people, that boundary feels simpler than a daily calculation.

The term covers several approaches. Time-restricted eating shortens the part of each day in which you eat. Alternate-day fasting moves between fasting days and days without those restrictions. Whole-day fasting is another version studied in clinical trials. They may share a name, but they are not interchangeable-and their results are not identical.

The most useful explanation for any weight change may also be the least glamorous. In an NIDDK interview, intermittent-fasting researcher Krista Varady said people eating within a shorter window naturally consumed less energy. She argued that eating less, rather than fasting itself, was probably driving weight loss. After a typical 16-hour fast, she noted, ketone increases are not significant.

That distinction matters. Intermittent fasting is often sold as if the empty hours perform special metabolic work. The available evidence supports a more ordinary possibility: removing opportunities to eat can reduce how much you eat. If timing makes that easier for you, it may be useful. If timing makes your life harder, fasting has no proven magic that demands your loyalty.

It is not the winner

The strongest recent comparison is a 2025 BMJ systematic review and network meta-analysis of 99 randomized trials involving 6,582 adults. Intermittent fasting and continuous energy restriction both reduced body weight compared with unrestricted eating. Overall, the review found that fasting strategies had similar effects to continuous energy restriction on weight and cardiometabolic risk factors.

Alternate-day fasting was the exception, but only just. Compared with continuous energy

restriction, it produced an average additional weight reduction of 1.29 kilograms. The reviewers described its advantage over time-restricted and whole-day fasting as trivial. In trials lasting at least 24 weeks, the clearer finding was that structured diet strategies reduced weight compared with unrestricted eating-not that one fasting method decisively beat the alternatives.

An earlier 2021 systematic review tells a compatible story. Across 27 intermittent-fasting trials in people with overweight or obesity, all reported weight loss, but the protocols differed widely. Twelve studies directly comparing fasting with calorie restriction found equivalent results. Most trials were small and lasted between two and 26 weeks; only two continued for a year.

Intermittent fasting is not better dieting. It is a different way of organizing restriction. That may sound deflating, but it is also freeing. You do not have to force yourself into fasting because it appears more advanced, disciplined or biologically special. The best-supported reason to consider it is practical: you prefer watching the clock to tracking food.

Intermittent fasting is not better dieting. It is a different way of organizing restriction.

Fit matters more than hype

The person best suited to intermittent fasting may be the person who dislikes calorie counting but can comfortably live with a firm boundary around eating. NIDDK's discussion describes it as free, relatively straightforward and not dependent on expensive foods or extensive nutrition knowledge. In studies cited there, adherence to time-restricted eating was similar to, or slightly better than, adherence to calorie counting, although the two are measured differently.

Your social life still gets a vote. The NIDDK interview notes that many people arrange their eating window to include dinner because dinner is difficult to miss socially. That small detail reveals the real challenge: a plan has to coexist with meals that matter to you. A theoretically elegant window is not much use if it repeatedly collides with the way you live.

There is some evidence that the approach can last beyond a brief experiment. In a 12-month study discussed by NIDDK, time-restricted eating and daily calorie restriction each produced about 5% weight loss. Participants following time restriction maintained their loss during the maintenance phase. But researchers still need studies lasting two or three years to know whether health effects persist.

For athletes, the picture is cautiously reassuring rather than settled. A 2024 systematic review of 25 studies concluded that intermittent fasting could improve body composition without reducing physical performance, while maintaining lean mass and possibly improving maximum power. Yet the samples and fasting patterns varied substantially, and the authors called for

more sports-specific research. That is not a guarantee that every training routine will fit comfortably around fasting.

Some doors should stay closed

A history of eating disorders changes the calculation. Most fasting studies exclude people with that history, so reassuring findings from those trials do not apply to them. Varady told NIDDK that she does not think people with a history of eating disorders should follow fasting regimens. This is not an area where a popular eating trend should become a personal test of willpower.

Pregnancy is another clear boundary in the supplied evidence. NIDDK notes that fasting has not been studied in pregnant women and identifies them among those who probably should not use it. Absence of research is not evidence of safety. Here, uncertainty is enough reason not to treat fasting as an ordinary lifestyle experiment.

Older adults also deserve caution. Weight loss can include some lean mass, and the NIDDK discussion raises concern about excessive muscle loss in older populations. Research in older adults remains limited. The athlete review's finding about maintaining lean mass does not settle that concern because it examined adult athletes-a very different group.

Diabetes is where this stops being merely a lifestyle question. Small studies involving type 2 diabetes have reported improved glucose control, and several trials have not found more hypoglycemia than in control groups. But the evidence is still short-term and based on limited samples. If you have diabetes, fasting belongs in a conversation with your health care professional, not in a solo experiment based on general diet advice.

What the glucose findings really say

The diabetes evidence is promising, but the word "promising" is doing important work. NIDDK reports that studies in people with obesity and prediabetes have found lower fasting insulin and improved insulin resistance. In type 2 diabetes studies, researchers have observed reductions in A1C and more time within target glucose ranges. Yet many of those studies have been small.

The 2021 review found improved glycemic control in all five included studies that enrolled people with type 2 diabetes. The larger 2025 BMJ analysis, however, found no differences between intermittent fasting, continuous energy restriction and unrestricted diets for HbA1c. The broader and newer evidence therefore does not establish fasting as the superior glucose

strategy.

That is the pattern across this subject. A result appears exciting in a small or narrowly defined group; a larger comparison makes it look more modest. This does not mean intermittent fasting fails. It means its advantages are mainly comparable to those of other ways of reducing energy intake, while its long-term effects remain less certain than the confident claims surrounding it.

For the next week, pay attention to the question the trials cannot answer for you: whether a time boundary would simplify eating or dominate your day. Notice where meals naturally sit, which ones carry social value, and whether counting time truly feels easier than counting food. You do not need to fast to pass a health test. You need an approach that fits without asking you to ignore a medical boundary.

Where this comes from

- What Can You Tell Your Patients About Intermittent Fasting and Type 2 Diabetes?

  National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases · https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/professionals/diabetes-discoveries-practice/patients-intermittent-fasting
- Intermittent fasting strategies and their effects on body weight and other cardiometabolic risk factors: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials.

  BMJ (Clinical research ed.), 2025 · https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40533200/
- Intermittent fasting and weight loss: Systematic review.

  Canadian family physician Medecin de famille canadien, 2021 · https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32060194/
- Intermittent Fasting: Does It Affect Sports Performance? A Systematic Review.

  Nutrients, 2024 · https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38201996/